

# ERPGs and TEELs for Chemicals of Concern: Rev. 19 - December 2002

## Introduction

This document is TEELs Rev 19, December 10, 2002 (WSMS-SAE-02-0300). Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits (TEELs) are provided for over twenty-two hundred chemicals. The tables that follow also include all chemicals for which AIHA Emergency Response Planning Guideline (ERPG) values had been issued to date<sup>1</sup>.

Table 1 is an alphabetical list of the chemicals and Chemical Abstract Services Registry Number (CASRN) and some physical constants whenever available.

Table 2 is an alphabetical list of the ERPGs and TEELs for these chemicals. Values are given in parts per million (ppm) for gases and volatile liquids and in milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) for particulate materials (aerosols) and nonvolatile liquids.

Table 3 is a list of TEELs sorted by Chemical Abstract Services Registry Number (CASRN).

Table 4 is an alphabetical list of the TEELs in mass per unit volume (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) with the ppm to mg/m<sup>3</sup> conversion (carried out at 25°C and 760 mmHg) performed before rounding.

TEEL values that have been changed since the last revision (Rev 18) are indicated on both Tables 2 and 4.

The DOE SCAPA-approved methodology<sup>2</sup> was used to obtain hierarchy-derived TEELs. Subsequently, published toxicity parameters from SAX<sup>3</sup> and RTECS<sup>4</sup> were used to derive TEEL-2 and TEEL-3 values for chemicals lacking concentration-limit hierarchy-based values, as documented in a Westinghouse Savannah River Company Technical Report (WSRC-TR-98-00080)<sup>5</sup> and in "Derivation of Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits (TEELs)."<sup>6</sup> Hierarchy-based values are presented as given by the original source, but toxicity-based values are rounded down to powers of 10 of the bases 1, 1.25, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6, or 7.5 (unless the derived value is within 5% of the limit above it, e.g., 290 is rounded to 300). Where applicable, conversion from ppm to mg/m<sup>3</sup> is made before rounding.

***These temporary emergency exposure limits are always subject to change.*** If new concentration limits are issued (e.g., ERPGs, PELs or TLVs), or if new or additional toxicity data are found, TEELs will be revised. Several SCAPA-approved improvements to the TEEL-derivation methodology are incorporated in this revision. TEELs that are affected by these changes are indicated. Further TEEL revisions will be issued as warranted.

ERPGs adopted through the 2002 ERPG set are on SCAPA's home page "<http://www.bnl.gov/scapa>". WSRC-TR-98-00080 is also available at that same web address. The most recent TEEL list revision may be found on DOE EH's Chemical Safety home page in both Adobe Acrobat format (.pdf) and as MS Excel tables [http://tis-hq.eh.doe.gov/web/chem\\_safety/](http://tis-hq.eh.doe.gov/web/chem_safety/) under "Site Map", then "Chemical Management Tools".

Suggestions for improvement of this document, for chemicals to be added to the list, to the format, and other comments, are welcome. All chemicals for which TEELs are derived will be added to the list. Anyone deriving TEELs using the published methodology is asked to send these to [doug.craig@wxsms.com](mailto:doug.craig@wxsms.com) through 01/31/03, thereafter to the SCAPA web site.

## Notes for Tables

The Tables in this document are derived from an Excel Workbook. This has been considerably modified from that described in detail in reference 5, in that nearly all the Excel functions used to automatically calculate TEELs have been replaced by Visual Basic macros. This change reduced the size of the file by a factor of about five, and made the process of adding new chemicals to the list much simpler.

Chemicals whose names are boldface are chemicals for which there were official ERPGs 12/10/2002. "Added" means that the chemical has been added since "TEELs Rev.18". If a TEEL value has been changed in Rev. 19 from previously recommended values, the affected values are indicated in the last column of **both** Tables 2 and 4. Changes from previous TEEL Revisions are usually the consequence of the correction or addition of data, a few SCAPA-approved methodology modifications, or of rigid adherence to the above-automated methodology<sup>5</sup>, any deviation from which is indicated. (See Appendix 1). The physicochemical data given in these tables is extracted from various sources, not all of which are in agreement with each other. However, the differences are not usually large enough to be of concern in the conduct of safety analyses. All molecular weights (MW) are given to two decimal places. The primary sources of these data are references 3, 4, 7, and 8.

Abbreviations used are defined on pages (i) and (ii) of this document. **Hierarchy-based TEEL values** are obtained by strict application of the methodology (described in references 2, 5 and 6) except as noted below or indicated on Tables 2 and 4.

Information pertinent to the derivation of hierarchy-based TEEL values:

Permissible exposure levels (PEL)<sup>9</sup> used in earlier revisions of this document were vacated by Court order. Although these vacated values, adopted in 1989 (29 CFR 1910.1000-1910.1200, as of July 1, 1992) are more credible than the 1968 ACGIH TLV values to which the vacated PEL values reverted, they are no longer published in the Federal Register. Most OSHA (PEL), ACGIH (TLV)<sup>10</sup>, and NIOSH (REL)<sup>7</sup> values used are taken from the "Guide to Occupational Exposure Values - 2000"<sup>11</sup>, compiled by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists. This publication also no longer lists vacated PEL values. WEEL<sup>1</sup> values are AIHA Workplace Environmental Exposure Level Guides TWA, STEL or C; "MAK"<sup>11</sup> represents concentration limits adopted by the Federal Republic of Germany.

For particulate materials, limits (in mg/m<sup>3</sup>) are for total dust, even though limits are sometimes also given for the respirable fraction;

PNOS = Particulates Not Otherwise Specified. This TLV-TWA value is for total dust, and the respirable fraction is assumed to be 30% of total concentration;

The note "1910.pqrs" refers to specific paragraphs in the Federal Register (29 CFR) regulating a particular chemical;

For substances that are in particulate form, TEEL-3 has a maximum value of 500 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. This concentration constitutes an upper bound for a stable cloud of respirable dust. The reason for this is that the coagulation rate of particles is a function of the square of the **number** concentration;

Values are restricted by the hierarchy-based TEEL for the next higher category, e.g., TEEL-1 is restricted by the TEEL-2 so that **TEEL-0 ≤ TEEL-1 ≤ TEEL-2 ≤ TEEL-3**;

In a few instances, where the IDLH value for a chemical was less than a well-documented TEEL-2 value, the IDLH was not used as the TEEL-3. The IDLH documentation is not as rigorous as that for the 60-minute EEGL or TLV-C values;

For a few chemicals whose "official" ERPG-1 value was odor-based rather than toxicity-based, the TEEL-1 value was adjusted to the PEL-STEEL, TLV-STEEL, or 3 x TLV-TWA value;

Some hierarchy-based TEEL-0 and TEEL-1 values are restricted by a PEL-C or TLV-C value, i.e., **TEEL-0 ≤ TEEL-1 ≤ PEL-C or TLV-C**;

In the absence of other concentration limits or appropriate toxicity data for a chemical, a few values are based on British, Finnish, Russian or other guidelines<sup>12</sup>;

The usual order of use of toxicity data for TEEL-2 and/or TEEL-3 is subordinate to human toxicity data for a particular chemical;

In the absence of both hierarchy- and toxicity-based TEELs, the following default ratios have been used:

$$\text{TEEL-0} = (\text{TEEL-1})/3$$

if there is a TEEL-1;

$$\text{TEEL-1} = (\text{TEEL-0}) \times 3$$

if there is a hierarchy-based TEEL-0, and no PEL-STEEL, TLV-STEEL, PEL-C or TLV-C;

$$\text{TEEL-1} = (\text{TEEL-2})/7$$

if there is a toxicity-based TEEL-2.

This is based on the mean ratio of existing ERPG-2s to ERPG-1s;

$$\text{TEEL-2} = (\text{TEEL-0}) \times 5$$

if there is a hierarchy-based TEEL-0, and no PEL-STEEL, TLV-STEEL, PEL-C or TLV-C;

$$\text{TEEL-2} = (\text{TEEL-3})/5$$

if there is either a hierarchy-based or a toxicity-based TEEL-3.

This is based on the mean ratio of existing ERPG-3s to ERPG-2s;

$$\text{TEEL-3} = (\text{TEEL-2}) \times 5$$

if there is either a hierarchy-based TEEL-2 or a toxicity-based TEEL-2;

A few values depart from the usual guidelines, and are estimates based on existing concentration limits (at other TEEL values) and/or a comparison with similar chemicals and/or a review of available toxicity data. For example, the TEEL-3 value for 1-Bromo-3-chloro-5,5-dimethylhydantoin is estimated from the toxicity-based TEEL-3 for 3-Bromo-1-chloro-5,5-dimethylhydantoin;

In a few instances, the toxicity-based TEELs were significantly less than the hierarchy-based values and the latter (e.g., some HT-2s based on REL-Cs) were ignored. All TEELs other than hierarchy-based values are rounded.

## Further Information

Because of its length, the original document is no longer available in hard copy. This included all the input data used to generate hierarchy-based TEELs (i.e., the first worksheet in the 30MB Excel workbook), and the selected toxicity data (based on the priority described in reference 5) used to derive toxicity-based TEELs), and the physicochemical data included in Table 1. It also included a table of hierarchy-based TEELs and a table of procedure-based TEEL-2 and TEEL-3 values. A limited number of copies of the text and four tables may be available from Dr. Doan Hansen at [doan@bnl.gov](mailto:doan@bnl.gov)

Contact Douglas K. Craig for further information, at (803) 502-9640, (803) 502-9773 (FAX), or [doug.craig@wxsms.com](mailto:doug.craig@wxsms.com) (e-mail) through January 2003, after which revised contact information will be posted on the SCAPA web site, <http://www.bnl.gov/scapa>

## References:

1. The AIHA 2002 Emergency Response Planning Guidelines and Workplace Environmental Exposure Level Guides Handbook. AIHA Press, Fairfax, Virginia (2002).
2. Craig, D.K., J.S. Davis, R. DeVore, D.J. Hansen, A.J. Petrocchi, and T.J. Powell. Alternative Guideline Limits for Chemicals without ERPGs. *Amer. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* 56, 919-925 (1995).
3. Lewis, R.J., Sr.: Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 10th Edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1999). This publication is now available as a CD ROM (now from Wiley Environmental Science).
4. CHEM-BANK™ (August 2002) Databanks of potentially hazardous chemicals: RTECS<sup>R</sup> – U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (NIOSH) Compact disc Vol. Id:RT25. SP-018-062 (SilverPlatter). This CD also includes other data bases, all of which have been scanned for pertinent data if necessary. These include: OHMTDS, TSCA and IRIS – US Environmental Protection Agency; CHRIS – U.S. Department of Transportation (Coast Guard); HSDB – U.S. Library of Medicine; NPG – U.S. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH).
5. Craig, D.K. and C. Ray Lux: WSRC-TR-98-00080. Methodology for Deriving Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits (TEELs) (U). Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC (1998).
6. Craig, D.K., J.S. Davis, D.J. Hansen, A.J. Petrocchi, T.J. Powell, and T.E. Tuccinardi, Jr. Derivation of Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* 20, 11-20 (2000).
7. NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control (June 1990). A revised "Guide" was issued in June 1997, and the latest version is included in Ref. 4 above.
8. Lewis, R.J., Sr.: Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary, 14th Ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, (2001). This publication is available as a CD ROM.

9. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 29 – Labor, Part 1910.1000, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Air Contaminants, Subpart Z: Toxic and Hazardous Substances. Tables Z-1, Z-2 and Z-3 (July 2002).
10. 2002 TLVs<sup>R</sup> and BEIs<sup>R</sup> Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents: The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), Cincinnati, OH (2002)
11. Guide to Occupational Exposure Values – 2002. Compiled by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Cincinnati, OH (2002).
12. Occupational Exposure Limits for Airborne Toxic Substances. Third Edition: Values of Selected Countries prepared from the ILO-CIS Data Base of Exposure Limits, International Labor Office, Geneva (1991).

### **Appendix 1: Changes to TEEL-derivation methodology approved by SCAPA membership ballot in November 2001**

1. Many hierarchy concentration limits (e.g., PELs, TLVs and RELs) for compounds are listed "... as Xy", (e.g., Antimony and compounds, as Sb; Calcium chromate, as Cr; Silver, soluble compounds, as Ag). Intertox changed concentration limits by the ratio of the specific compound to the element for these chemicals, taking into account the compound formulae. This was surely the intent of OSHA, ACGIH, and NIOSH in listing concentration limits this way; it seems logical to incorporate this change in deriving concentration-limit hierarchy-based TEELs.

Therefore, it was recommended to **"Adjust PEL, TLV, REL, MAK and OEL TWA, STEL and C concentration limits by the compound to element ratio. This simplifies the application of TEELs, and ensures that inappropriate adjustments will not be made. Toxicity-based TEELs (t-Ts) are already compound-specific, so need no adjustment"**.

2. TEEL-2 values are based on PEL, TLV, or REL ceiling (C) values, or on 5 x TLV-TWA, in order of availability. The ERPG-2 definition given below is frequently interpreted as the threshold concentration for serious or irreversible toxic effects. Concentration-limit hierarchy-based TEELs (HTs) are frequently much lower than the applicable toxicity data that are available for a chemical would indicate for this threshold. A partial resolution to this problem would be addition of a step to test for large differences between concentration-limit and toxicity-based TEEL-2 and TEEL-3 values.

Therefore, it was recommended to **"Test HTs based on PEL-C (15-minute regulatory limit for workers), TLV-C (well-documented 15-minute per day limit for workers), REL-Cs or 5 x TLV-TWAs against toxicity-based TEEL-2s (t-Ts by all routes of intake).**

**If  $10 < t-T \text{ to HT ratio} < 100$ , then set  $TEEL-2 = HT \times 10$**

**If  $t-T \text{ to HT ratio} > 100$ , then set  $TEEL-2 = HT \times 100$**

**The usual constraint that  $TEEL-2 \leq TEEL-3$  applies.**

**TEEL-3s are currently toxicity-based if there is no IDLH".**

3. Existing Route Adjustment Factors (RAFs) are arbitrary, and were based on scientific judgement. It was, for example, assumed that intravenously (iv) injected compounds would be quantitatively absorbed, so iv administration was assigned an RAF of 1, compared with 0.25 for orally (os) ingested or administered material. This means that it was assumed that four times as much compound needed to be ingested to elicit the same toxic response as the iv- administered

compound. This issue was addressed by applying the existing TEEL-derivation methodology to all available acute toxicity data (i.e., for different routes of administration) for 90 chemicals for which ERPGs had been published at the time. To avoid interspecies differences, only rat data were used for this analysis. Rat oral LD<sub>50</sub> data were used as the basis for comparison because of the relative abundance of such data. There were sufficient data for three common routes of administration in toxicity studies, namely intraperitoneal (ip), intravenous (iv), and dermal uptake (sk). These analyses showed that current RAFs (RAF-C) for three routes should be revised (RAF-R). Toxic compounds administered by these routes were not as effective relative to oral intake as originally assumed. Therefore, it was recommended to **“Adopt the revised RAFs, which are more soundly based than the existing RAFs”**.

TEELs are dynamic, and change when Input data changes (e.g., ERPGs, PEL-TWAs, new acute toxicity). Inconsistencies or errors (often pointed out by users) are corrected as necessary. All changes from previous TEEL list revisions are indicated in the TEEL tables. Adoption of these changes bolsters scientific creditability of TEELs.

### **Definition of TEELs:**

TEELs are intended for use until Emergency Response Planning Guidelines (ERPGs) are adopted for chemicals. Therefore, with the exception of the recommended averaging time, TEELs 1, 2, and 3 have the same definitions as the equivalent ERPG. These are:

**ERPG-1** The maximum concentration in air below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing other than mild transient adverse health effects or perceiving a clearly defined objectionable odor.

**ERPG-2** The maximum concentration in air below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing or developing irreversible or other serious health effects or symptoms that could impair their abilities to take protective action.

**ERPG-3** The maximum concentration in air below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing or developing life-threatening health effects.

### Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits (TEELs)

**TEEL-0** The threshold concentration below which most people will experience no adverse health effects.

**TEEL-1** Same as ERPG-1

**TEEL-2** Same as ERPG-2

**TEEL-3** Same as ERPG-3

It is recommended that for application of TEELs, concentration at the receptor point of interest be calculated as the peak fifteen-minute time-weighted average concentration. It should be emphasized that TEELs are default values, following the published methodology explicitly. The only judgement involved is that exercised in the extraction of data used to calculate the recommended TEELs.

## Key to Abbreviations

abs – absolute	flash p - flash point
ACGIH - American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists	FP, fp - freezing point
af - atomic formula	g, gm. - gram
AIHA - American Industrial Hygiene Association	glac - glacial
alc - alcohol	gran - granular, granules
alk - alWine	hygr - hygroscopic
amorph - amorphous	H, hr - hour(s)
anhyd - anhydrous	HR - Hazard Rating (SAX)
approx - approximately	htd - heated
aq -aqueous	htg - heating
at, atm - atmosphere	IARC - International Agency for Research on Cancer
autoign - autoignition	immisc - immiscible
aw - atomic weight	incomp - incompatible
BEI - ACGIH Biological Exposure Indexes	insol - insoluble
BP, bp - boiling point	IU - International Unit
b range - boiling range	kg - kilogram (one thousand grams)
CASRN - Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number	L,I - liter
cc - cubic centimeter	LEL, lel - lower explosive limit
CC - closed cup	liq - liquid
CL - ceiling concentration	M - minute(s)
COC - Cleveland open cup	m <sup>3</sup> - cubic meter
conc - concentration, concentrated	mf - molecular formula
compd(s) - compounds)	mg - milligram
contg - containing	misc - miscible
cryst, crys - crystal(s), crystalline	μ, u - micron
d - density	mL, ml - milliliter
D - day(s)	mm. - millimeter
decomp, dec - decomposition	mmHg - pressure in millimeters of mercury
deliq - deliquescent	mod - moderately
dil - dilute	MP, mp - melting point
DOT - U.S. Department of Transportation	mppcf - million particles per cubic foot
EPA - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	MW, mw - molecular weight
ERPG - Emergency Response Planning Guidelines of the AIHA	ng - nanogram
eth - ether	NIOSH - National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
expls - explodes	nonflam - nonflammable
(F) - Fahrenheit	NTP - National Toxicology Program
FCC - Food Chemical Codex	OBS - obsolete
FDA - U.S. Food and Drug Administration	OC - open cup
fibrs - fibers	org - organic
flam - flammable	OSHA-Occupational Safety and Health Administration
	Pa - Pascals

**Key to Abbreviations (cont.)**

PEL - permissible exposure level  
 petr - petroleum  
 pg - picogram (one trillionth of a gram)  
 Pk - peak concentration  
 pmole - picomole  
 powd - powder  
 ppb - parts per billion (v/v)  
 pph - parts per hundred (v/v)(percent)  
 ppm - parts per million (v/v)  
 ppt - parts per trillion (v/v)  
 prep - preparation  
 press - under pressure  
 PROP - properties  
 Pwdr - powder  
 rhomb - rhombic  
 SAX Number - each chemical's identifying code as used in SAX3  
 SCAPA - Subcommittee on Consequence Assessment and Protective Actions  
 S, sec - second(s)  
 SAR – Structure Activity Relationships  
 Si, sit, sitly - slightly  
 SG - specific gravity  
 sol - soluble  
 soln - solution  
 solv(s) - solvent(s)  
 spont - spontaneously  
 STEL - short term exposure limit  
 subl - sublimates  
 TCC - Tag closed cup  
 tech - technical

TEEL - Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits  
 temp - temperature  
 $\mu$ , u - micron  
 TLV - Threshold Limit Value  
 TOC - Tag open cup  
 TWA - time weighted average  
 U, unk - unknown, unreported  
 UEL, uel - upper explosive limit  
 $\mu$ g, ug - microgram  
 ULC, ulc -Underwriters Laboratory Classification  
 USDA - U.S. Department of Agriculture  
 vac - vacuum  
 vap -vapor  
 vap d - vapor density  
 Vapor Press, vap press - vapor pressure  
 Vol - volume  
 visc - viscosity  
  
 vsol - very soluble  
 W - week(s)  
 Y - year(s)  
 % - percent(age)  
 > - greater than  
 < - less than  
 <= - equal to or less than  
 >= equal to or greater than  
 ° - degrees  
 °C - temperature in Celsius (Centigrade)  
 (F), °F - temperature in Fahrenheit